

## A Non-Exhaustive List of Quantitative Tools to Assess Metacognition

Jessica Santangelo, PhD

Hofstra University

Multiple quantitative tools exist to assess metacognition. Here I compiled a non-exhaustive list of tools that directly or indirectly relate to metacognition. Hopefully it serves as a starting point for anyone interested in this type of assessment. The [accompanying blog post](#) describes the process I went through to select an assessment for a large project.

Acronym	Full Name	Focus	self-report?	“Style” (Likert, open response, etc)	# Questions	Cost	Original Description of Tool	The tool	Examples of studies that have used the tool (Discipline in which used)
ALSI	Approaches to Learning and Studying Inventory	Focuses on a particular course; characterizes student learning as “deep” or “surface”	Yes	Likert, 5-point uses check marks (see tool)	18	Free	Tyler and Entwistle, 2003	<a href="#">Supposedly in Appendix 1 but Appendix 1 isn't there</a>  <a href="#">First 18 questions of the ETLQ</a>	<a href="#">Mogashana et al 2012</a> (chemical engineering)  <a href="#">Mattick et al 2004</a> (medical students)  <a href="#">Devoe</a> (medical students)  <a href="#">Baeten et al. 2013</a> (first-year student teachers)
ALSI - self score version	Approaches to Learning and Studying Inventory	Focuses on a particular course and asks students to consider their learning more broadly; characterizes	Yes	Likert, 5-point	36	Free	<a href="#">Tyler and Entwistle 2007</a>	<a href="#">Tyler and Entwistle 2007</a> (it's supposed to be in the appendix, but the appendix	

		student learning as “deep” or “surface”						is not provided)	
*ASI	Approaches to Studying Inventory	Characterizes student approach as Deep, Surface, or Strategic	Yes	Likert, 5-point	Short version: 30	Free	Entwistle, N. J., and Ramsden, P. (1983). Understanding Student Learning. London: Croom Helm.		<a href="#">ASI, RASI, ASSIST citations</a>
A-SRL-S	Academic Self-Regulated Learning Scale	Academic self-regulated learning	Yes	Likert (4-point: strongly agree, agree, disagree, strongly disagree)	55	Free	<a href="#">Magno 2010</a>	<a href="#">Magno 2010</a>	<a href="#">Articles citing it</a>
*ASSIST (a refined version of the ALSI)	Approaches and Study Skills Inventory for Students	Approaches to studying and learning	Yes	Likert	67	Free	<a href="#">Entwistle et al 2000</a>	<a href="#">ASSIST</a>	<a href="#">ASI, RASI, ASSIST citations</a>  <a href="#">Aaron and Skakun 1999</a> (medical students)
ETLQ	Experiences of Teaching	Focuses on a particular course	Yes	Likert (5-point: agree,	77	Free	<a href="#">Richardson 2014</a>	<a href="#">ETLQ</a>	

	and Learning Questionnaire			agree somewhat, unsure, disagree somewhat, disagree)					
ILP	Inventory of Learning Processes	Assesses study behavior	Yes	true-false	62	Free	<a href="#">Schmeck et al. 1977</a>		Abouserie 1995
ILP-R	Revised Inventory of Learning Processes						Schmeck et al 1991	*currently unable to obtain	
ILS	Inventory of Learning Styles	Components of student learning: 1. cognitive processing strategies, 2. metacognitive regulation strategies, 3. conceptions of learning, 4. learning orientations	Yes	Likert, 5-point agree to disagree	120	Free	<a href="#">Vermunt 2004</a>	<a href="#">Vermunt 2004</a>	<a href="#">Vermunt and Vermetten 2004 - cites papers that use ILS</a>
KMAI	Knowledge Monitoring Assessment Instrument	Uses individual confidence that they know/don't know a word with questions about the	No - compares an individual's estimates of their knowledge to their actual	Confidence followed by multiple choice	Varies - people often develop their own (I think)	Free	<a href="#">Tobias and Everson 1996</a>	<a href="#">Tobias and Everson 1996</a> (contains examples)	<a href="#">Tobias and Everson 2002</a> <a href="#">Beziat et al 2014</a> (undergraduates in intro university 100 course)

		definitions of words	knowledge (assessed via performance)						
LASSI***	Learning And Study Strategies Inventory	Awareness and use of learning and study strategies	Yes	Likert, 5-point not at all typical of me to very much typical of me	60 (3rd edition) 80 (original)	Purchase	<a href="#">Weinstein et al 1987</a>	<a href="#">Purchase here</a>  <a href="#">User's manual</a>	Downing et al 2008 (first year undergraduates in Hong Kong),
MAI	Metacognitive Awareness Inventory	metacognition	Yes	Originally continuous line, subsequently Likert	52	Free	Schraw and Dennison 1994	<a href="#">MAI</a> *note that the scoring page is wrong	Young and Fry 2008 (undergraduate and graduate education students)  Sperling et al 2004 (first year students in undergraduate strategies and sophomore education majors)  Kauffman 2004 (undergraduates in educational psychology and child development courses)  <a href="#">Bas et al 2016</a>

									(pre-service teachers)  <a href="#">Devoe</a> (medical students)
MAIT	Metacognitive Awareness Inventory for Teachers	metacognition	Yes	Likert (5-point: strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree)	24	Free	<a href="#">Balcikanli 2011</a>	<a href="#">MAIT</a>	<a href="#">Ghonsooly et al 2014</a> (Iranian English as a foreign language teachers)  <a href="#">Mai 2015</a> (science teachers in Malaysia)
MARSI	Metacognitive Awareness of Reading Strategies Inventory	Metacognitive awareness and use of reading strategies	Yes	Likert (5-point: never/almost never to always/almost always)	30	Free	<a href="#">Mokhtari and Reichard 2002</a>	<a href="#">MARSI</a>	
<a href="#">MSLQ</a>	Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire	Focuses on behavior in a specific class	Yes	Likert (7 point: ill-defined from not at all true of me, very true of me)	56	Free	<a href="#">Pintrich and DeGroot 1990</a>	<a href="#">MSLQ</a>	Sperling et al 2004 (first year students in undergraduate strategies and sophomore education majors)
*RASI	Revised Approaches to Studying Inventory	Produces scores on Deep, Surface, and Strategic	Yes	5-scale likert (5 high)	52 (the 2nd half of the <a href="#">ASSIST</a> ) Or 18 (shortened)	Free	<a href="#">Duff 2004</a>  <a href="#">Duff 1997</a> (reliability)	<a href="#">See second part of ASSIST</a>	<a href="#">ASI, RASI, ASSIST citations</a>

		approaches to learning			version) see <a href="#">Entwistle et al 2013</a> for a detailed explanation )		and validity of RASI)		
SPQ	Study Process Questionnaire	Assesses how much an undergraduate “endorses different approaches to learning and the motives and strategies comprising those approaches”	Yes	Likert (5-point: always/almost always true to never/rarely true)	42	Free	<a href="#">Biggs</a>	<a href="#">SPQ Manual</a>	<a href="#">Trigwell et al 1999</a> (chemistry and physics undergraduates )  <a href="#">Emilia et al 2012</a> (medical students)
R-SPQ-2F	Revised Two-Factor Study Process Questionnaire		Yes	Likert (5-point: always/almost always true to never/rarely true)	20	Free	<a href="#">Biggs et al 2001</a>	<a href="#">R-SPQ-2F</a>	

\*Approaches to Studying Inventory (ASI) developed in the 1970’s (Entwistle and Ramsden 1983)

ASSIST developed in 1997 - the second part of the ASSIST is a revised version of the ASI (RASI) which is often used on its own

\*\*\*Purportedly the most widely used inventory in the world ([Downing 2006](#))

#### References

Aaron, S., and E. Skakun. 1999. Correlation of students’ characteristics with their learning styles as they begin medical school. *Academic Medicine: Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges* 74:260–262.

- Abouserie, R. (1995). Self-esteem and achievement motivation as determinants of students' approaches to studying. *Studies in Higher Education*, 20, 19-26.
- Baeten, M., K. Struyven, and F. Dochy. 2013. Student-centred teaching methods: Can they optimise students' approaches to learning in professional higher education? *Studies in Educational Evaluation* 39:14–22.
- Balcikanli, C. 2011. Metacognitive awareness inventory for teachers (MAIT). *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology* 9:1309–1332.
- Baş, F., M. Özturan Sağırılı, and M. Bekdemir. 2016. The metacognitive awarenesses of pre-service secondary school mathematics teachers, beliefs, attitudes on problem solving, and relationship between them.
- Beziat, T., C. Was, and R. Isaacson. 2014. Knowledge monitoring accuracy and college success of underprepared students. *The Researcher* 26:8–13.
- Biggs, J. B. 1987. Study Process Questionnaire Manual. Student Approaches to Learning and Studying. Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd., Radford House, Frederick St., Hawthorn 3122, Australia.
- Biggs, J., D. Kember, and D. Y. P. Leung. 2001. The revised two-factor Study Process Questionnaire: R-SPQ-2F. *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 71:133–149.
- DeVoe, P. A. H. 2011. Learning in medical school: Relationships among achievement goals and approaches to learning and studying in three classes of medical students. THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO.
- Downing, K., T. Kwong, S.-W. Chan, T.-F. Lam, and W.-K. Downing. 2008. Problem-based learning and the development of metacognition. *Higher Education* 57:609–621.
- Downing, K., and K. Shin. 2006. Developing Metacognition with LASSI Online. Hong Kong.
- Duff, A. 1997. A note on the reliability and validity of a 30-item version of Entwistle & Tait's Revised Approaches to Studying Inventory. *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 67:529–539.
- Duff, A. 2004. The Revised Approaches to Studying Inventory (RASI) and its Use in Management Education. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 5:56–72.
- Emilia, O., L. Bloomfield, and A. Rotem. 2012. Measuring students' approaches to learning in different clinical rotations. *BMC Medical Education* 12:114.
- Entwistle, N., V. McCune, and H. Tait. 2013. Approaches and Study Skills Inventory for Students (ASSIST): Report of the development and use of the inventories.
- Entwistle, N., H. Tait, and V. McCune. 2000. Patterns of response to an approaches to studying inventory across contrasting groups and contexts. *European Journal of Psychology of Education* 15:33–48.
- Ghonsooly, B., G. H. Khajavy, and F. M. Mahjoobi. 2014. Self-efficacy and Metacognition as Predictors of Iranian Teacher Trainees' Academic Performance: A Path Analysis Approach. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 98:590–598.
- Kauffman, D. F. 2004. Self-Regulated Learning in Web-Based Environments: Instructional Tools Designed to Facilitate Cognitive Strategy Use, Metacognitive Processing, and Motivational Beliefs. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* 30:139–161.
- Magno, C. 2010. Assessing Academic Self-Regulated Learning Among Filipino College Students: The Factor Structure and Item Fit. SSRN Scholarly Paper, Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY.
- Mai, M. Y. 2015. Science Teachers Self Perception about Metacognition. *Journal of Educational and Social Research* 5:77.

- Mattick, K., I. Dennis, and J. Bligh. 2004. Approaches to learning and studying in medical students: Validation of a revised inventory and its relation to student characteristics and performance. *Medical Education* 38:535–543.
- Mogashana, D., J. Case, and D. Marshall. (n.d.). What do student learning inventories really measure? A critical analysis of students' responses to the Approaches to Learning and Studying Inventory.
- Mokhtari, K., and C. A. Reichard. 2002. Assessing students' metacognitive awareness of reading strategies. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 94:249–259.
- Pintrich, P. R., and E. V. De Groot. 1990. Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 82:33–40.
- Richardson, J. T. E. 2014. Critical synthesis package: experiences of teaching and learning questionnaire (ETLQ). Association of American Medical Colleges.
- Schmeck, R. R., F. Ribich, and N. Ramanaiah. 1977. Development of a Self-Report Inventory for Assessing Individual Differences in Learning Processes. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 1:413–431.
- Schmeck, R., E. Geisler-Brenstien, and S. Cercy. 1991. Self concept and learning: the revised inventory of learning processed. *Educational Psychology*. 11:343:363.
- Schraw, G., and R. S. Dennison. 1994. Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemporary educational psychology* 19:460–475.
- Sperling, R. A., B. C. Howard, R. Staley, and N. DuBois. 2004. Metacognition and self-regulated learning constructs. *Educational Research and Evaluation* 10:117–139.
- Tobias, S., and H. T. Everson. 1996. Assessing metacognitive knowledge monitoring.
- Tobias, S., and H. T. Everson. 2002. Knowing what you know and what you don't: Further research on metacognitive knowledge monitoring.
- Trigwell, K., M. Prosser, and F. Waterhouse. 1999. Relations between Teachers' Approaches to Teaching and Students' Approaches to Learning. *Higher Education*:57.
- Tyler, S., and N. J. Entwistle. 2007. Approaches to learning and studying inventory: self-score version (3rd edition). Pages 339–352 in S. Tyler, editor. *The Managers Good Study Guide: an essential reference with key concepts, tools and techniques explained*. Open University Worldwide Ltd, Milton Keynes, U.K.
- Vermunt, J. D. 1994. Inventory of Learning Styles in higher education: scoring key. Department of Educational Psychology Tilburg University.
- Vermunt, J. D., and Y. J. Vermetten. 2004. Patterns in Student Learning: Relationships Between Learning Strategies, Conceptions of Learning, and Learning Orientations. *Educational Psychology Review*:359.
- Weinstein, C., S. Zimmermann, and Palmer, DR. 1988. Assessing learning strategies: the design and development of the LASSI. *Learning and Study Strategies: Issues in Assessment, Instruction, and Evaluation*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA.
- Young, A., and J. Fry. 2008. Metacognitive awareness and academic achievement in college students. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning* 8:1–10.